

Bio & Terror Bible

EXPOSING THE COMING BIO-TERROR PANDEMIC

BIOTERRORBIBLE.COM: If a bio-terror attack occurs in San Francisco, it will either happen at the San Francisco International Airport or at an Occupy Wall St. protest. Based on recent news, terror drills, war-games and events, San Francisco may be targeted in an upcoming bio-terror attack. The city of San Francisco is also one of [21 cities NOT at risk for elimination](#) from the Cities Readiness Initiative (meaning that San Francisco is "ready" for bio-terrorism).

Title: San Francisco Airport Serves As Lab To Quietly Test Bioterror Sensors

Date: March 25, 2003

Source: [Oakland Tribune](#)

Abstract: As more than 65,000 people a day heft luggage into San Francisco International Airport to be scanned for guns and bombs, hidden machines occasionally sniff the air they breathe for lethal gases and germs.

Inside SFO, defense scientists are quietly testing a variety of chemical and bio-warfare sensors in a race to guard airports nationwide against terrorist attacks.

Today, chemical or biological detectors are at work in New York, Washington and other U.S. cities. But SFO is the nation's only major international airport testing detectors for chemical and biological agents, sensors that are equally or more accurate than the military detectors rolling and flying into Iraq with U.S. forces.

SFO is, in fact, a laboratory, serving as the nation's model for protecting airports and perhaps other large indoor, public places viewed as attractive terrorist targets.

Over months of experimenting, scientists, airport managers and security staff are getting a preview of complications in the domestic war on terror, where they face decisions largely hidden from the flying public.

The SFO experiments suggest that sensor technology, while promising for crisis management, may never be a full answer to bioterrorism. Even the best of today's biosensors, relying on DNA fingerprinting, pose built-in delays of up to four hours in confirming the existence of some key bioterror agents. Guarding airports probably will require multiple biosensors, some slow and accurate, others fast and open to false alarms.

In the event of an attack, that means airport managers still will face a difficult calculus, tinged with uncertainty as they weigh the risk of greater loss of life against frightening or alienating the public through airport evacuations. To compensate, their actions will have to be fast, intelligent and made with a grasp for the consequences.

The SFO experiments put those consequences before airport managers with more clarity than ever before. Scientists already have found new ways to minimize casualties in attacks on any airport. They plan to offer that advice to Oakland International, San Jose International and other airports, even as the SFO work continues.

Using smoke releases and computer simulations, for example, the need for rethinking airport evacuations became obvious. If terrorists strike an airport for maximum effect -- releasing gas or germs in a crowded main terminal -- then evacuating passengers would expose healthy passengers and spread the cloud.

"We discovered evacuation (through main terminals) would actually kill more people," said Duane Lindner, deputy director of Chem/Bio Programs at Sandia National Laboratories/California at a recent biodefense conference.

Sandia executives decline to identify the airports where detectors are installed under PROACT, the federal research project on detectors and other ways to protect airports, now housed in the Department of Homeland Security.

Officials at San Francisco International also decline to talk about the experiments. "I can't talk about that for security reasons right now," said Michael McCarron, SFO director of community affairs.

Officials involved in the experiments insisted on keeping details of the detectors secret -- their number, location, appearance and capabilities -- so that terrorists could not identify, disable or defeat them. But all were designed for anonymity, to be unobtrusive boxes breathing on a wall or floor.

Despite the secrecy, sufficient details have emerged in public statements and interviews with government officials and scientists to show SFO has a leading role in exploring national anti-terror defenses.

It could be a year or more before the SFO experiments lead scientists to a standard chem-bio sniffer system that federal security officials will recommend for every U.S. airport and possibly airports abroad. But in a matter of months, officials expect much of what is learned at SFO will change how U.S. airport managers plan to respond to terror attacks.

Scientists began studying subways and airports in the late 1990s as anti-terror experts realized both were chillingly efficient at magnifying the effects of terror attacks. In airports, the greatest fear is the release of smallpox or other contagious agents, unwittingly carried by airline passengers across the nation and across the globe in hours.

The latest evidence came last week when a germ leaped two oceans in a few days, stowing away in the lungs of a Singapore doctor en route to New York then Frankfurt. The bug triggers a mysterious pneumonia classified as Severe

Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) and has spread to at least 16 countries, with 10 suspected cases of infected individuals in California, half of them in Santa Clara, Alameda and Sonoma counties -- most having flown from Asia.

Scientists are mapping the air flows of subways and airports, designing sensor networks and advising airports on responding to alarms from a variety of detectors. But the fastest and most relevant are the most open to mistaking common bacteria for biowarfare agents.

For now, no biodetector is capable of foolproof, "real-time" identification of the likeliest bioterror agents. The most accurate commercial biodetectors, originally devised by Lawrence Livermore Lab, issue a false alarm just once every 10,000 tests. But the turnaround time for results is two to four hours.

That may be enough time for authorities to intercept airliners full of infected passengers before they reach their next destination and start administering antibiotics or vaccines. It is what anti-terror scientists call a "detect to treat" technology.

Yet even as Livermore scientists roll out a new, robotic smoke-alarm for germs, performing both antigen tests and DNA-fingerprinting tests in less than half an hour -- a staggering feat -- it probably still won't be fast enough to alert airport officials to evacuate a terminal.

"Today, there is no silver bullet," said Pat Fitch, director of Livermore lab's Chemical and Biological National Security Program ([Oakland Tribune, 2003](#)).

Title: An Action Plan To Reopen A Contaminated Airport

Date: December, 2006

Source: [Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory](#) (PDF)

Abstract: How would authorities respond if San Francisco International Airport (SFO) were to be contaminated with anthrax, and how long would it take to restore the airport to full usability? An intentional bioterrorist attack at the airport could endanger the health of hundreds of people. Long-term closure of this critical transportation hub during decontamination would have disastrous effects on the regional and national economy.

Recall the events of late 2001 when letters containing anthrax spores contaminated office buildings and postal facilities in Florida, New York City, Washington, DC, and other locations. Although some buildings were back in full operation in less than a month, others took many months to reopen, and one Department of State facility was closed for three years. With that experience in mind, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) funded a project to minimize the time a major transportation facility would be closed following a biological attack.

Lawrence Livermore and Sandia national laboratories led the project, in partnership with SFO, to develop response and restoration protocols for such events. The group's work culminated in January 2006 when 120 officials from local, state, and federal agencies participated in a two-day demonstration at SFO's old international terminal to test the new procedures. Returning the international terminal and a boarding area at SFO to full operation from a large-scale terrorist incident may have taken up to two years based on other bioremediation activities and the decontamination and restoration methods that were available in 2001. Using the protocols developed by the Livermore-Sandia team reduces that time by at least 50 percent. In fact, the team estimates that the time required would actually be less than six months, depending on the level of planning in place prior to an attack.

A new DHS assignment for Livermore is to develop protocols for responding to and cleaning up a large outdoor area contaminated by a bioagent. Researchers already know that sunlight will naturally degrade many biological pathogens. Also, when some bioagent particles hit soil, they stay there, so re-aerosolization is less of a problem. Still, planning for such an attack is new territory. Says Raber, "At this point, no one has experience with wide-area urban decontamination." The Laboratory is also developing a site-specific biological restoration plan for Grand Central Station in New York City, where Livermore's Autonomous Pathogen Detection System has been tested. (See *S&TR*, October 2004, pp. 4-5.) A major subway station offers yet another set of challenges because it is part of a web of tunnels, staircases, and large semi-contained areas. "We look forward to continuing our involvement with major transportation facilities," says Carlsen. "They are a key to our nation's economic vitality and the well-being of our citizens" ([Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 2006](#)).

Title: Plan To Spray Toxic Biological Chemicals Over San Francisco Announced

Date: March 11, 2008

Source: [Natural News](#)

Abstract: People of the world, the US Government is planning to poison more than two million people, in California, using an untested biological "pesticide" this summer. The chemical to be sprayed is classified by the EPA as a "pesticide" and the plan is to douse cities with this chemical designed to stick on everything for 90 days or longer. This application is not a one time event, but will continue every 1-3 months for as long as five years. The pesticide to be sprayed is not designed to harm the light brown

apple moth's who it is designed for, but merely to confuse its mating habits. While harmless to moths, the pesticide has been documented to harm humans.

Side effects range from vomiting and flu like systems, to male and female reproductive cycle disruption. One child nearly died from the exposure, and some people have developed asthma from being exposed to this chemical concoction. It is cause for *alarm* that a chemical being labeled as harmless and "safe" even in minute doses, causes severe health effects in some people. The government is racing to cover up and hide the dangerous health effects so that they can continue their aerial spray plans this summer. Your attention and action on this subject is needed in the most important way.

On January 24th, 2008, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Secretary Chuck Conner announced the availability of \$74.5 million in emergency funding to combat the light brown apple moth (LBAM) infestation in California (1). President Bush's recent budget proposal sent to Congress sets aside \$330 Million to eradicate plant pests, like the Light Brown Apple Moth. With crime, prison crowding, pollution, poverty, budget problems and the like, why should the government go through the effort to try to control the reproductive habits of a moth? While most people say the answer is money, a far more sinister plan seems to be at hand. It is unprecedented to design a long term plan to spray chemicals on people, which are untested for safety. This plan violates a myriad of state, federal and international laws.

On February 13th, 2008, the CDFA and USDA, in conjunction, announced their action plan for aerial spraying untested poison on people. This is from the CDFA Press Release "Aerial treatments are expected to begin June 1 in the infested areas of Monterey and Santa Cruz counties, with subsequent aerial treatments expected to begin August 1 in San Francisco, Daly City, Colma, Oakland, Piedmont, Emeryville, Albany, El Cerrito, El Sobrante, Tiburon and Belvedere. The treatments in these areas are designed to be reapplied at 30- to 90-day intervals while the moths are active." (4)

In late 2007, there were 643 documented health complaints (www.1hope.org/SPRAYCOMPLES.PDF) from the aerial spray program conducted in Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties. Keep in mind that this documentation is a mere fraction of the real health effects, as no legitimate effort was made to inform even doctors on how to recognize pesticide poisoning. Many doctors also refused to report suspected pesticide poisoning as required by law, and in order for a report to get officially filed, many times the patient had to insist upon it. These health injuries are not being honored in any way, because if they are honored, this sick chemical spray will be seen for what it is. It is a poison to many humans and likely to many other animals as well. I have a difficult time swallowing that a chemical compound designed to disrupt a moth's mating cycle can accidentally create reproductive health problems.

There are many who believe that this spray is not directed at the moth population which the government says is the goal of the spray, but rather that it is directed at humans. There is a growing body of evidence to support this claim, considering that the moth itself does not cause any crop damage. It is similar to the government deciding that we must eradicate all the ants, because ants cause millions of dollars of damage. Like ants, the light brown apple moth is a harmless pest. Another strange observation is the name of the mating disruption chemical Checkmate. In order to pull off the deployment of this biological chemical, a hoax, or reason for aerial spraying had to be created. That reason is called the Light Brown Apple Moth infestation. The second requirement to pull off releasing a massive chemical cloud of disease, is to trick people into believing it is safe. This whole aerial spray program depends on the belief that the chemicals to be sprayed are safe.

Since the chemicals planned to be deployed have never been sprayed over cities before, and are even being developed as I write, and thus have not been proven safe for humans, this is by the facts a large scale experiment. The question people should ask is, "why?" While it may be just coincidence, a recent article displays this headline: "Top-secret Livermore anti-germ lab opens." (2) This same lab, has routinely exploded thousands of pounds of lethal, chemically toxic, and radioactive Depleted Uranium in the greater San Francisco Bay area's air for the past fifty years (3).

When people hear about this aerial spray, many people experience a sinking feeling in the pit of their stomach. This is truly a sickening action. The government is willfully breaking countless laws in order to

combat a stupid leaf rolling moth that curls up into the leaves of some plants and that doesn't cause any crop damage. It has not caused crop damage or embargo's in Hawaii over the past 100 years, so why would it cause damage in California?

No ounce of sanity can explain why the government must insist on violating countless laws to spray people with never before tested chemicals, except as to realize a deadly game is being played.

Unlike the horrible malathion spraying over 20 years ago, which was a one-time ordeal with chemicals that evaporate, this spraying is a time release microscopic device, which will be continuously applied, short of the winter months, for up to five years. Even five years of spraying will at best reduce slightly the moth population, as pest control experts explain that mating disruption technology is used as a small part of an overall pest management plan. Pretty much any pest control expert, except for those on the government take, will tell you that the light brown apple moth cannot be eradicated, and that even if it could, the mating disruption technology is not the best means to do it. Since aerial spraying is to be the sole method of controlling the light brown apple moth in many locations, then even after many years of spraying, the moth will still be alive. It is not sane to spray people with chemicals. That begs attention, there is not any hard evidence that the spray even works at all. In fact on the EPA's own website, there's an article about pheromones released from microcapsules, it states: "The studies show that only a small proportion of the microcapsules actually release any pheromone." (5)

This is now clear and reprehensible evidence of State and Federal Governments attempting to commit a large scale crime against the American people. Let us forget about supposed terrorists for a second whose propagandized images are placed on the television, and lets pay attention to this biological attack planned on our fellow neighbors. The presence of a minuscule pest, is no excuse to douse millions with chemicals. There are NO CROPS IN CITIES! So why are they going to spray cities?

This Is A Cover-Up

The LBAM infestation is a monumental hoax designed as a cover for an operation of devious goals to expose people to dangerous chemicals over several years. Following is a link to a recently published proposal of spray boundaries, the yellow lines indicating the proposed spray zones (www.hopefortruth.com/lbam_2008.jpg) . More than two million people who live in these and other targeted cities are scheduled to be exposed this summer to chemicals that have never been tested on humans or animals before. Let me repeat: the government of the United States is conducting a human biological experiment, on a massive scale, breaking State, Federal and International laws. Children, pregnant women, and the sick and elderly will be most at risk to this increased exposure to long lasting chemicals. Chemicals which are newly designed, chemicals which have not been proven safe. Just like the reproductive health effects reported from the spray, it is important to realize that a large team of "experts" working on this project do not mistakenly douse people with chemicals. This is done on purpose, with a purpose.

Does it make sense to douse over two million people with literally hundreds of billions of microscopic balls of volatile chemicals over an extended period of time to try to limit the mating habits of a few thousand moths? Is it legal to do this? Is it ethical? Is it moral? Yet rather than halting the spray plans to investigate the damage it has done to many people, the spray plans continue to grow exponentially.

To see this insanity clearly, let's examine the moth population. In San Francisco County, 3,501 moths total have been trapped and killed over an eight month period. The entire city of San Francisco, whose population is 744,041, is in the proposed spray boundaries. About 744,000 people are to be exposed to 'never tested safe for humans, microencapsulated pheromones' for several years. This is really a needle in the haystack approach to pest control. Keep in mind, each moth found is a moth that has been trapped and exterminated (6). In Alameda County, 431 moths have been found in the past 8 months out of 2,327 traps. The moth population in Alameda County is thus sparse at best. Just look out your window. Imagine how many insects are in the tree, the yard, or the local park. I have seen more than 431 ants crawl into my kitchen on a rainy day. So in a giant area of 141 square miles, and the tens or hundreds of millions of insects in that zone, to try to eradicate a few hundred moths by just spraying chemicals everywhere is

both ludicrous and unsafe.

When I learned that Santa Cruz, my previous hometown was to be aerially sprayed, I was in a state of shock. This was followed by a long period of disbelief.

Apparently the CDFA or the USDA, or both, decided that the previous chemical formulas used, Checkmate LBAM-F and Checkmate OLR-F were not good enough, which really points to the fact that the past two aerial sprays in Monterey, and the one in Santa Cruz County, were a waste of time and money. Not to mention the tragedy of the many severe health reactions experienced by thousands of people from chemical exposure. It doesn't matter to those in charge at the CDFA, EPA, or USDA, if a new chemical is needed to be used, because the goal is not to stop the moth, the moth cannot be stopped, it can only be controlled. This is known. The goal may be to coat people with a toxic mesh of disease causing microcapsules.

The chemicals that were sprayed, and that are planned on being sprayed, have not undergone thorough safety evaluations. And they won't undergo such evaluations in a legitimate way, because if they did, they would prove only one thing - that these chemicals are potentially deadly.

The chemicals planned to be sprayed will no doubt be similar to the ones used in Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties in 2007. They are a plastic encapsulated microtechnology, a microscopic plastic dust. The reported microcapsule size of the aerial mating disrupter is as small as 10 microns and averages 25-35 microns in size for an undeployed moth spray. For comparison, a human hair is approximately 70 microns in thickness. The size of these chemical containing plastic balls comes suspiciously close to micro-sized particles that are used to evade the body's defensive systems for biological weapons, those are reported to be 3-4 microns in size. Miniature particles between 2.5-10 microns in size, especially from pesticides, are known to cause both short term and long term health effects, including decreased overall life span.

A University of California at Davis report confirmed that some unsprayed and undegraded microcapsules are indeed 10 microns in size. The small particle size explains the severe reactions, that I, along with countless others have had when being exposed to minute dosages of the chemical. Minute dosages of chemicals designed for moth's, advertised as harmless, *do not accidentally make people sick*. In other words, the people who designed these chemicals and manufactured them had to have an intimate understanding of what each chemical does, and how they react with each other. So, too, should the EPA have this understanding. It is not unreasonable to assume that a chemical designed to stop moths, that is being sprayed on humans, would have such drastic health effects, but it does. The only conclusion is that this is intentional in the design. Imagine someone designing a "safe" car. If this "safe" car had no brakes, then nobody would assume that the car accidentally had no brakes. Likewise, chemicals designed for moths, in minuscule doses, cannot accidentally harm people. It is more than just chance.

Again, nobody in the public as of yet knows how small the degraded microcapsules are, or how this will effect people in the short and long term, because no such testing exists. Once sprayed, these microscopic balls can easily enter deep into the mouth, eyes, skin and lungs. Even after 90 days and several rains, some people in Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties are still experiencing symptoms of spray poisoning. When they leave the counties, their symptoms many times abate. This aerial program is a real sword through the heart of democracy and decency. The government has really gone too far and it must be stopped now.

I must inform you that through a freedom of information request, a local newspaper has learned that the CDFA has hired media spin doctor extraordinaire Porter Novelli at the tune of almost \$500,000 tax payer dollars to convince the public that it is okay for the state to dump chemicals all over them, their gardens, their pets, and their neighbors. The state of California is in a budget crisis, and this is one example that explains why. Here the state is using tax payer dollars to lie to and mislead the public, to try to convince the public that the state should be spending millions of dollars of resources on polluting the air, sea, and land with chemicals that the public in general does not want or need. Since a majority of spray funding is coming from the federal arm, know that your tax paying dollars are going to a government sponsored

large scale crime.

Originally, the USDA announced that the moth might cause \$100 million in crop damage if allowed to multiply. Then they keep expanding their figures to create false reasons to justify this unethical chemical assault. The most recent estimate of LBAM damage is \$160 million to \$640 million just in California. Mind you this moth has a hard time finding its way into crop fields and seems for some very bizarre reason to live only in cities. The estimate of this level of crop damage is absurd. The figures used to estimate the damage came from one particular year in Australia and have little meaning in California, as the climate is different. Even if this \$160 million crop loss did come true, one has to consider that \$90 million has now been earmarked to contain the moth, primarily the funds are for aerial spraying chemicals on cities which contain people, and not crops like apples, oranges and grapes. One expert estimates that if the CDFA stays on this track, by the time the aerial treatment is complete after five years, close to \$500 million will be spent on aerial eradication. With little to no impact on the apple moth population.

The pheromone being used, (E)-11-Tetradecen-1-yl Acetate is identical to pheromone's which many native moths and perhaps other species also contain. So this spray will effect other non-target species. The pheromone only represents between 10-20% of the ingredients of the aerial spray.

Now, organizations like the Breast Cancer Fund, Center For Environmental Health, Calpirg, and the Sierra Club are issuing statements opposed to aerial spraying.

What to Expect Next

The CDFA, USDA, and EPA will do anything and everything to convince, lie, cajole and get people to agree with the plan to spray chemicals. The facts of this situation are irrelevant to those in charge because the goal is to spray people, not to operate under the laws of this constitutional government of checks and balances. They are going to go to meetings, pretend it is safe, have doctors testify it is safe, pretend to listen to the public, ignore any health complaints, and try to lull people into complacency on the matter. They have even gone as far as promising an environmental review, which will contain a giant pile of documents again to try to prove that it is okay to spray people with chemicals. The CDFA will continue to find more moth finds, and create more fake evidence of how much harm the moth will do. They will get more money from Mr. Bush's pesticide funds and continue to enlarge their aerial spray program. Strangely the moth will continue to spread, finding its way into other cities, and in the coming years, cities like Los Angeles and San Diego will be targeted.

Knowing that the government is actively lying, hiding evidence, manipulating the public, and that they are spraying chemicals illegally on people should be enough evidence to convict these felons under Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) laws.

Freeing Yourself From the Government's Pro Spray Propaganda

These 643 health injury reports represent a fraction of the real injuries. The actual spray has surely caused thousands to be poisoned from this biochemical formulation. See my related article (www.naturalnews.com/022434.html) . Despite these reports which include 330 official illness claims filed with the CDFA, the CDFA states the following lies on their website, "Pheromones are extremely safe" and "Public safety is the primary concern." The statement that pheromones are safe is a misleading distorted truth. Sure, pheromones can be safe when used responsibly, for example a benign moth sticky trap in an apple orchard (away from people) that contains pheromones to attract the moths. But when you create a microscopic biodegradable ball of volatile chemicals, and then place within it a synthetic moth pheromone, which itself is described as an explosive chemical, you have more of a drug which can interact and create changes within the human body, than a pesticide. Furthermore, no thorough investigation has been done of these 330 official illness complaints, and some of these people have not yet recovered. And a further investigation won't be done, because a legitimate investigation would find that the spray made people sick, and thus, the aerial spray program would be halted.

One must realize that any documentation regarding the safety of pheromones reported is from non-aerial applications, such as a moth sticky trap that is scented with pheromones and stuck on a tree, or a pheromone laced twist tie applied to apple orchards or vineyards. These safety assertions also do not relate to the aerial method of application. A sticky trap laced with pheromones is a different entity than a microscopic ball that can easily enter the body and then biodegrade and release chemicals within the body. Part of the less dangerous aspect to pheromone laced traps is the fact that the pheromone is so volatile that it rapidly evaporates, so the chemical won't travel too far. The microcapsules are the opposite, they are designed to stick around in the air for months. They are infinitely more dangerous than sticky traps, and you cannot really compare them. It's like saying that a tank is the same as a motorcycle because they are both vehicles. Microcapsulated pheromones are a different beast than pheromone laced moth traps.

The newly designed chemicals, which are scheduled for June and August deployment will not have their ingredients disclosed to the public. We do not even know what this stuff is? How can the government say the chemicals are safe, and then hide the ingredients from scrutiny? If these chemicals are so safe why not tell us honestly what they are? Clearly the intention of the CDFA, USDA, and EPA, is to cover up the real nature of this biochemical assault. They are trying to hide what they do from the public's eye. They do not want you to know the truth. The truth is people get sick. Not everybody gets sick from the moth spray, but many people do. Its effects can be strong and violent. The truth is, the US Government is a vehicle being used to poison us.

The CDFA reports that they want their newest moth weapon deployed this summer, to be even longer lasting, which to me means that the microcapsules will be even more dangerous, possibly indefinitely sticking in the environment, and irritating people's sensitive ducts and glands; much like allergies from pollen. In each aerial application, spray planes are contracted through Dynamic Aviation (www.dynamicaviation.com/home.htm), which has other specialties beyond aerial application of pesticides. They also do "Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance." The spraying is conducted at a height of between 500-800 feet and residents in the sprayed area report feeling like they are in a war zone. Once in contact with residential neighborhoods, parks, and playgrounds, the balls slowly disintegrate over time. The hope is that during this time, they release pheromones and limit the moth's mating patterns. Again, this is a whimsical hope because no legitimate studies exist proving this new technology is effective at either releasing pheromones, or at stopping the moth's mating habits.

Typically a drug takes many years for the Food and Drug Administration to approve for safety for humans. Under an emergency declaration, the EPA can exclude new chemicals from rigorous testing. The catch to this exception is that these laws were designed for agricultural emergencies and are being violently misused because they are planning on spraying large urban populations and not agricultural zones. These laws cannot lawfully apply to cities. Among other things, we have legal control and authority of our bodies, and our properties. We can say "No" to the spray, and if necessary, defend ourselves from this highly toxic assault. The EPA and USDA have flagrantly crossed legal and ethical boundaries by applying laws designed for agricultural fields to densely inhabited city centers.

Further, consider that the testing of these chemicals is not done to make sure that it is safe for humans, as the safety is merely an untested assumption. As an example, it took several months after the first aerial spray of Monterey County for the CDFA to pay the University of California Davis to see if the Checkmate formula was toxic to certain very small ocean insects. It took several months for this first test of the Checkmate formula to be conducted. This test only happened after the chemical was sprayed on well over two hundred thousand people. The testing being conducted in New Zealand is not to ensure safety for humans, but to see if the mating habits of the moths are disrupted. This spraying is illegally approved by the EPA because Congress passed legislation to legally prevent the EPA from conducting human experiments. "EPA's rules make it clear that all pregnant women, all nursing women, and all children are excluded from all studies involving intentional exposure that are intended for submission under the pesticide laws."

If the EPA cannot test pesticides on pregnant women, nursing women, and children, then under what jurisdiction and authority can they simply endorse and approve the exposure of pregnant women, nursing women and children with untested pesticides?

How many more children do we need to see poisoned by these indefensible government actions until we wake up and say, *enough!* How many more of our friends, relatives and neighbors do we need to see develop chronic health conditions due to exposure to aerially sprayed chemicals until we take effective action to stop this insanity? How much longer are we going to allow the government that is created for the people, to represent the people, to break its own laws and poison its own people and then disregard any reported health concerns?

I call for the arrest and trial of the appropriate employees in the CDFA, EPA, and USDA, for conspiring to poison people of the State of California, for lying and deceiving the public, and for polluting our environment ([Natural News, 2008](#)).

Title: Bioterrorism Drill In Calif. Bay Area City

Date: March 20, 2009

Source: [Homeland1](#)

Abstract: The East Side Club at the Coliseum was transformed Thursday into a treatment center for victims of a mock anthrax attack, with nearly 1,000 volunteers and representatives of public health and emergency response agencies from 10 counties taking part in the exercise.

The Bay Area Mass Prophylaxis Exercise tested participants' ability to respond to a scenario involving the intentional release of an aerosolized biological agent in Thursday's scenario, it was anthrax that would require a mass distribution of drugs to treat potentially thousands who might be exposed and could face death if not treated in a timely manner.

At a 12:30 p.m. media briefing a few hours into the exercise, Dr. Muntu Davis, division director of the Alameda County Public Health Department, said, "So far it's been pretty good."

Davis said one of the main goals was to make sure victims got the pills needed to combat the anthrax exposure as quickly and efficiently as possible and to screen them to make sure they did not get medication they might be allergic to.

Thursday's exercise was made up of two main components, officials said. One was testing the capacity to disseminate medications to hundreds of victims in this case, 700 civilian volunteers. The second was to test the agencies' ability to set up a warehouse of medications and to track, manage and dispense them according to needs of health jurisdictions.

Zerlyn Ladua, the Alameda County Public Health Department's emergency health preparedness coordinator, said that the exercise topped off months of planning and the continued efforts of Bay Area public health agencies "to test and develop protocols, procedures and best practices that are shared" throughout the state and nation.

Davis said an anthrax or any biohazard attack is something one hopes never happens, but by doing such exercises officials can learn the best way to distribute medications in other medical emergencies, such as a flu epidemic.

Oakland fire Lt. David Brue said the exercise was developed following guidelines established by the Department of Homeland Security's Exercise and Evaluation Program and was funded by the Bay Area Super Urban Area Security Initiative, a regional agency created in 2006.

Counties participating Thursday were Alameda, Contra Costa, San Mateo, Solano, San Francisco, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, San Benito, Napa and Sonoma, and the cities of Oakland and Berkeley ([Homeland1, 2009](#)).

Title: Air Force Simulates Chemical Agent Attack

Date: March 24, 2011

Source: [Bio Prep Watch](#)

Abstract: The Air Force's 95th Medical Group's In-Place Patient Decontamination Team recently took part in a three day training exercise at Edwards Air Force Base in California in which simulated chemical agents needed to be scrubbed off of dummies and healthy volunteers.

The course, which took place between March 15 and March 17, consisted of hands-on and classroom training, including classroom discussion on types of chemical warfare agents, symptoms and signs of contaminated patients and the potential complications that could occur.

"The whole purpose of this (training) is that if a patient presents himself as contaminated and gets inside the clinic, that contaminated patient can contaminate the entire clinic and shut down operations," Tom Bocek, the contract training manager and instructor for the workshop, said. "This in-place patient decon team can be thought of as the medical shield for the medical operations so that patients can be cleaned and the medical personnel can do what they really want to do, and that is save the patient."

The hands-on training consisted of assembling a shelter, a containment system to collect waste-water run-off along with a hydrant system, and practicing how to process patients using a dummy and an Airman volunteer. One of the procedures covered the proper administration of atropine, which is an antidote to nerve agent poisoning.

"We learned life-saving skills to decontaminate and save victims of chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear or hazardous materials," Master Sgt. Valerie Vasquez, the 95th Medical Support Squadron alternate decon team chief, said. "It not only protects the victims but the medical personnel treating them as well. I'm confident that this team can now perform decon if put in the situation where it was needed."

The training for the team occurs once every quarter ([Bio Prep Watch, 2011](#)).

Title: Silver Dragon Emergency Simulation Prepares For Bioattack

Date: March 25, 2011

Source: [Bio Prep Watch](#)

Abstract: First responders, health officials and a team of volunteers participated in the fifth annual "Silver Dragon" emergency drill across San Mateo County, California, in a simulated effort to distribute aid to residents in wake of a disaster.

Over 10,000 homes were visited from Daly City to Redwood City to simulate the distribution of supplies and medicine from federal stockpiles. The actual materials distributed were materials containing kitchen fire safety information, ABC 7 News reports.

The San Mateo County Health System organized the exercise to test how the county would respond to a major natural disaster, airborne illness, widespread food contamination or a biological terrorist attack.

"Anything that would require us to distribute medical supplies to our citizens," Jana Cranmer, a health department spokeswoman, said, according to ABC 7 News.

Around 60 health department staff members coordinated first responders from over 20 local fire and police departments with around 450 volunteers during the operation.

Some of the agencies involved included the San Mateo County Office of Emergency Services, the Belmont-San Carlos Fire Protection District, the Coastside Medical Reserve Corps, the Pacifica Police Department, the Half Moon Bay Police Department, the North County Fire Authority and the Pacifica Fire Department.

The drill lasted three hours after a scheduled 9 a.m. start time ([Bio Prep Watch, 2011](#)).

Title: Valuable Lessons Learned From Bay Area Bioterrorism Drill

Date: June 14, 2011

Source: [CBS News](#)

Abstract: Contra Costa was one of several Northern California counties participating in an [anthrax screening exercise last week](#). Public health experts set up a [website](#) so residents could get information about what to do if exposed to a potentially deadly chemical or infectious disease.

Several thousand people participated, but emergency officials have since struggled to assess the effectiveness of conducting a regional drill such as this.

The crux of the drill – public health experts set up a website so residents could access information in the event of an emergency. During the exercise, participants were asked to use the website to find out how they could get medication from dispensing location to counter-act the symptoms.

“We did get responses from 14 counties throughout the region, we also did get some responses from counties outside of the region and other states as well,” explained Amy Pine with the San Francisco Public Health Department. “We were happy that the website stayed up and functioning, it didn’t crash. But, we’ll be working on tweaking some things in this time of non-emergency ([CBS News, 2011](#)).

Title: Army Set For Large Disaster Response Exercise

Date: June 23, 2011

Source: [Bio Prep Watch](#)

Abstract: A large disaster response exercise planned by U.S. Army North will take place throughout southern Indiana and northern Kentucky between August 16 and August 28 to train federal military forces for CBRN incidents.

The Vibrant Response 12 and 12A planning conference in Camp Atterbury, Ind., on June 23 was the last chance for those planning the exercise to assemble. The event, which is the fourth field training event in the Vibrant Response series, will help federal forces train in their role in supporting civilian consequent managers in response to chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear incidents.

The 5,200 person Defense CBRN Response Force replaces the 4,500 person CBRN Consequence Management Response Force. It is designed to faster provide more life-saving capability to an incident.

“We have forged a strong training partnership with the Indiana National Guard and the people who run Camp Atterbury and Muscatatuck Urban Training Complex,” Paul Condon, Army North’s lead Vibrant Response exercise planner, said. “We’ve worked with them to add several new venues at both Camp Atterbury and Muscatatuck Urban Training Complex in order to accommodate the larger DCRF.”

Organizations participating in the exercise include the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the Fort Knox Fire Department, the Army's 9th Area Medical Laboratory, National Guard elements from California, Indiana, Michigan, West Virginia, Minnesota and Illinois, and Verizon Wireless.

"Verizon will be using Vibrant Response to train their crews to repair equipment in a contaminated environment," Clark Wigley, the Army North joint exercise planner, said. "They also are providing some of their mock equipment for the military to transport."

The DCRF and the similar Command and Control CBRN Response Element A group were created as part of the Department of Defense's transformation of the nation's tiered CBRN response enterprise. The units will assume their mission Oct. 1 ([Bio Prep Watch, 2011](#)).

Title: Army Set For Large CBRN Disaster Response Exercise

Date: June 29, 2011

Source: [Bio Prep Watch](#)

Abstract: A large disaster response exercise planned by U.S. Army North will take place throughout southern Indiana and northern Kentucky between August 16 and August 28 to train federal military forces for CBRN incidents.

The Vibrant Response 12 and 12A planning conference in Camp Atterbury, Ind., on June 23 was the last chance for those planning the exercise to assemble. The event, which is the fourth field training event in the Vibrant Response series, will help federal forces train in their role in supporting civilian consequent managers in response to chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear incidents.

The 5,200 person Defense CBRN Response Force replaces the 4,500 person CBRN Consequence Management Response Force. It is designed to faster provide more life-saving capability to an incident.

"We have forged a strong training partnership with the Indiana National Guard and the people who run Camp Atterbury and Muscatatuck Urban Training Complex," Paul Condon, Army North's lead Vibrant Response exercise planner, said. "We've worked with them to add several new venues at both Camp Atterbury and Muscatatuck Urban Training Complex in order to accommodate the larger DCRF."

Organizations participating in the exercise include the Federal Emergency Management Agency, the Fort Knox Fire Department, the Army's 9th Area Medical Laboratory, National Guard elements from California, Indiana, Michigan, West Virginia, Minnesota and Illinois, and Verizon Wireless.

"Verizon will be using Vibrant Response to train their crews to repair equipment in a contaminated environment," Clark Wigley, the Army North joint exercise planner, said. "They also are providing some of their mock equipment for the military to transport."

The DCRF and the similar Command and Control CBRN Response Element A group were created as part of the Department of Defense's transformation of the nation's tiered CBRN response enterprise. The units will assume their mission Oct. 1 ([Bio Prep Watch, 2011](#)).

Title: Calif. County Simulates Ricin Release Into Water Supply

Date: November 21, 2011

Source: [Bio Prep Watch](#)

Abstract: Health and safety crews from Tehama County, Calif., collaborated on a water interruption training exercise on Thursday morning using a scenario in which ricin had been put into the water supply.

Participating in the exercise were staff from the St. Elizabeth Community Hospital, the public health and public works departments, the Red Bluff police and fire departments, the California Highway Patrol, CalFire and the sheriff's department. The exercise, which is held annually, helped the agencies discover what deficiencies they each have so they can be better prepared to overcome them during an actual emergency, Red Bluff Daily News reports.

"All residents and businesses receiving city water within Tehama County must not use or consume water for any purpose," Phillip Mackintosh, the CHP public information officer, said as part of the scenario, according to Red Bluff Daily News.

Mackintosh was one of three people who operated the public information office during the training scenario. Each department involved went through the motions of what their responsibilities would be should such a threat become a reality. City Hall was set up as a command center for the exercise and hospital staff practiced filtering water from a pool along with other activities. Law enforcement decided on the best locations for stations that distribute safe bottled water and sites for portable toilets.

"We will do everything we can to provide information to keep people safe," Mackintosh said, according to Red Bluff Daily News.

The mock emergency exercise forced departments to plan what they could or would do in a related situation.

In the past, responders have committed to an airplane crash and a bus rollover reenactment. The training was the second part of a tabletop exercise that the departments did approximately one month before ([Bio Prep Watch, 2011](#)).

Title: California County Simulates Terrorist Attack

Date: March 16, 2012

Source: [Bio Prep Watch](#)

Abstract: Volunteers in San Mateo County, California, recently helped local first responders conduct an emergency drill that tested the county's ability to distribute medication in the event of a disaster or terrorist attack.

Approximately 65 volunteers split into several groups to conduct a door to door simulated distribution. The volunteers distributed emergency preparedness brochures to local residents near Menlo College and Atherton, according to [SanMateo.Patch.com](#).

In a real emergency, the teams would be handing out packets containing enough medical countermeasures to treat a family of four, according to Carol Parker, an emergency services specialist with the Menlo Park Fire Protection District. The volunteers knocked on the doors of nearly 250 area residents between 9 a.m. and noon on the day of the drill.

The drill, known as "Silver Dragon," was intended to help evaluate the emergency procedures developed by the San Mateo County Health System, as well as the ability of local agencies to coordinate their actions when facing a major disaster. Public health officials have held similar emergency drills for six consecutive years.

Parker said that some disasters might require the establishment of a mass clinic, as opposed to a delivery chain.

"But if you had a pandemic or anthrax, then you need to go out into the neighborhoods rather than bringing the mass people together," Parker said, [SanMateo.Patch.com](#) reports ([Bio Prep Watch, 2012](#)).