

Bio Terror Bible

EXPOSING THE COMING BIO-TERROR PANDEMIC

BIOTERRORBIBLE.COM: If and when a full-scale bio-terror attack occurs, the live pathogens or agents responsible for the pandemic will likely be dispersed via A) [chemtrails](#) by government [airplanes and/or drones](#), B) by the [U.S. Postal Service](#) via [Tide detergent samples](#), C) by the government and medical establishment via [tainted vaccines](#), or by D) the portable petri dish commonly known as the [Trojan condom](#).

A wealth of recent medical research indicates that [vaccines are no longer safe](#) and may cause serious neurological problems, seizures, autism and even death. A recent push by the medical and government establishment in America to [make vaccines mandatory](#) may go into effect after a pandemic in which [martial military law](#) will be called and personal freedoms like the right to refuse a vaccine will be denied.

In a major bio-terror related pandemic, it will be the [tainted vaccines](#) which are ultimately responsible for killing 99% of the victims.

Title: Smallpox Shots: Make Them Mandatory

Date: December 23, 2002

Source: [TIME](#)

Abstract: The eradication of smallpox was one of humanity's great success stories. After thousands of years of suffering at the hands of the virus, the human race gathered all its wit and cunning and conquered the scourge, eradicating it forever. Well, forever lasted less than 25 years. It does not bode well for the future of our species that it took but a blink of the eye for one of history's worst killers to make a comeback — not on its own, mind you, but brought back by humans to kill again.

During the age of innocence — the '90s, during which it seemed history had ended — the big debate was whether the two remaining known stocks of smallpox in the world, one in Russia and the other in the U.S., should be destroyed. It seemed like a wonderful idea, except that no one could be absolutely sure that some smallpox stores had not fallen into other hands. In fact, we now think Iraq is working on weaponizing smallpox, and perhaps North Korea and others too.

The danger is greater now than ever — first, and ironically, because of our very success in eradicating it in the past. People today have almost no experience with, and therefore no immunity to, the virus. We are nearly as virgin a population as the Native Americans who were wiped out by the various deadly pathogens brought over by Europeans. Not content with that potential for mass murder, however, today's bad guys are reportedly trying to genetically manipulate the virus to make it even deadlier and more resistant to treatment. Who knows what monstrosities the monsters are brewing in their secret laboratories.

What to do? We have enough vaccine on hand, some diluted but still effective, to vaccinate everyone in the U.S., with more full-strength versions to come. President Bush has just announced that his Administration will take the concentric-circle approach: mandatory inoculations for certain soldiers, voluntary inoculations for medical and emergency workers, and then inoculations available to, but discouraged for, everybody else.

It sounds good, but it is not quite right. If smallpox were a threat just to individuals, then it could be left up to individuals to decide whether or not they want to protect themselves. When it comes to epidemic diseases, however, we don't leave it up to individuals to decide. The state decides.

Forget about smallpox. This happens every day with childhood diseases. No child can go to school unless he's been immunized. Parents have no choice. Think of it: we force parents to inject healthy children with organisms — some living, some dead — that in a small number of cases will cripple or kill the child. It is an extraordinary violation of the privacy and bodily integrity of the little citizen. Yet it is routine. Why? Because what is at stake is the vulnerability of the entire society to catastrophic epidemic. In that case, individuals must submit.

Which is why smallpox vaccines were mandatory when we were kids. It wasn't left up to you to decide if you wanted it. You might be ready to risk your life by forgoing the vaccine, but society would not let you — not because it was saving you from yourself but because it had to save others from you. The problem wasn't you getting smallpox; the problem was you giving smallpox to others if you got it. Society cannot tolerate that. We forced vaccination even though we knew it would maim and kill a small but certain number of those subjected to it.

Today the case for mandatory vaccination is even stronger. This is war. We need to respond as in war. The threat is not just against individuals, but against the nation. Smallpox kills a third of its victims. If this epidemic were to take hold, it could devastate America as a functioning society. And the government's highest calling is to protect society — a calling even higher than protecting individuals.

That is why conscription in wartime is justified. We violate the freedom of individuals by drafting them into combat, risking their lives — suspending, in effect, their right to life and liberty, to say nothing of the pursuit of happiness — in the name of the nation.

Vaccination is the conscription of civilians in the war against bioterrorism. I personally would choose not to receive the smallpox vaccine. I would not have my family injected. I prefer the odds of getting the disease vs. the odds of inflicting injury or death by vaccination on my perfectly healthy child.

Nonetheless, it should not be my decision. When what is at stake is the survival of the country, personal and family calculation must yield to national interest. And a population fully protected from smallpox is a supreme national interest.

If it is determined that the enemy really has smallpox and might use it, we should vaccinate everyone. We haven't been called upon to do very much for the country since Sept. 11. We can and should do this ([TIME, 2002](#)).

Title: [AMA Paper Proposes Law Forcing People Into Experimental Vaccine Trials](#)

Date: January 27, 2012

Source: [Natural Society](#)

Abstract: How would you react if I were to tell you that you or your child were forced to participate in experimental vaccine trials? A paper published by the American Medical Association's [Virtual Mentor](#) wants to do just that. It seems that the amount of current participants in current experimental vaccine trials is a bit too low, so why not create a federal law forcing each person to need to “opt-out” of experimental vaccine trials in an attempt to better society?

AMA Proposes Law 'Forcing' Individuals to Participate in Experimental Vaccine Trials

The proposed law is lunacy to say that least, stating that individuals must make a “mandated choice” to participate in such experimental trials. People shouldn't be automatically enrolled in experimental trials or need to state in advance their decision to “opt-out”. Along with the law will come a customer list for big pharma, where each participant's information will be shared with the pharmaceutical companies in order

to gather trial and field test data. Of course this isn't so different from how things operate now, where individuals are enrolled into big pharma's database even if you exempt your child from vaccination. But if big pharma can't produce enough positive data from those who actually want to volunteer for introducing a new product to the FDA for approval, they may just try to force people to participate or use a segment of the population that is ignorant to the laws surrounding the trials.

What happens if these experimental vaccines cause harm? There are laws already set in place that essentially give vaccine manufacturers immunity to legal repercussions. That is to say that if your child is harmed from a vaccine, the vaccine manufacturers are not financially or morally responsible. The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 protects vaccine manufacturers from any liability.

Another example of similar [medical and political tyranny](#) is when a document was signed by Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, where both vaccine makers and federal officials were granted complete legal immunity from any repercussions — regardless of whether or not the case is valid. Signed in [July of 2009 by Sebelius](#), the document drew upon the provisions of a law signed in 2006 created for public emergencies. Granting swine flu vaccine makers legal protection and establishing a compensation fund, the legislation allows for vaccine makers to virtually disregard consumer safety.

It is interesting to note that these laws are still needed even though health officials and vaccine makers tout vaccines as being 99.99% effective. But it isn't so surprising, given the countless occasions in which vaccines have [ruined both the lives of children and parents](#). Furthermore, previous attempts to test the anthrax vaccine on unsuspecting participants went sour after the public was alerted to the shocking history behind the anthrax vaccine. In a [2007 report by the CDC](#) in conjunction with the Vaccine Healthcare Centers of the Department of Defense and the watchdog group Government Accountability found that "between 1 and 2 percent" of vaccinated military personnel experienced "severe adverse events, which could result in disability or death." As a result of public outrage, the [government called off the testing](#).

What would even happen if there were complications in future trials? Going by past history with other experimental vaccines, severe complications would probably just be hidden. The controversial trials of experimental AIDS vaccines that led to the loss of innocent lives have already been [ignored by mainstream scientists and reporters](#). Even the scientists responsible for the deaths covered up the situation, failing to report the fatalities of their trials in order to prevent the public from finding out.

Giving big pharma a guaranteed customer list of individuals while simultaneously giving them immunity from lawsuits is quite the deal. Through activism and spreading the word, hopefully such a deal will not be made ([Natural Society, 2012](#)).

Title: Texas Now Requiring Meningitis Vaccination For All College Students

Date: February 13, 2012

Source: [Natural News](#)

Abstract: Former presidential candidate and Texas Governor Rick Perry has signed into law new legislation that requires all college students, including those living off campus, to get injected with a meningitis vaccine. The new guidelines, which reportedly received bipartisan support, require that all students under the age of 30 show either proof of vaccination or a signed affidavit of exemption before being allowed to come to class.

Effective beginning spring semester 2012 for all students enrolled at both public and private colleges and universities across Texas, the mandate expands a previous one enacted in 2009 that requires only students living on campus to get the shot. And even though all students still have the freedom to decline the vaccination as a matter of conscience or for religious reasons, many of them are not being told this by their schools, and are just going along with it.

Though the entire state of Texas had only 34 reported cases of meningitis among young people between

the ages of 15 and 29 in 2009, Gov. Perry, the Texas Medical Association (TMA), and several state senators expressed vehement support for the new bill, S.B. 1107, which further expands the government's reach into the personal health choices of Texans.

Authored by Texas State Senator Wendy Davis (D-Fort Worth), S.B. 1107 is the companion bill to the earlier Jamie Schanbaum Act of 2009, which was enacted beginning January 1, 2010, in honor of Jamie Schanbaum, a University of Texas student who developed Meningococcal Septicemia that ended up causing her to lose both her legs and all ten of her fingers, according to reports.

But rather than continue to give students the option to evaluate the facts and decide for themselves whether or not to get the vaccine, both the Texas state government and the TMA have decided to force it on everyone, despite the fact that the condition is extremely rare. The National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) also lists some very serious reported adverse events associated with the meningitis vaccine that include nerve damage, double vision, the deadly skin disease Stevens-Johnson Syndrome, and even death.

The whole charade harkens back to Gov. Perry's 2007 executive order that mandated Gardasil vaccines for all Texas schoolgirls. This mandate was later repealed, but it appears as though Perry and the other vaccine-pushers in Texas are up to their dirty work once again trying to force chemical injections on the young people of Texas ([Natural News, 2012](#)).

Title: [Some States Weigh Opt-Out Laws For Mandatory Immunizations](#)

Date: [February 15, 2012](#)

Source: [USA Today](#)

Abstract: Lawmakers in seven states are considering legislation that would make it easier for parents to opt out of mandatory immunization requirements for their children, sparking debate among public health experts and some parents.

All but two states —[West Virginia](#) and Mississippi — as well the [District of Columbia](#), allow parents to opt out of school-required vaccines based on religious beliefs, according to the National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL). Those two states are now considering bills that would allow exemptions because of philosophical beliefs.

West Virginia state Sen. Donna Boley, who is sponsoring a bill, said a philosophical exemption allows parents who object to vaccines to opt out for their own reasons. "I've had parents encourage me for quite some time that would like to be in charge of what's put into their children's bodies," Boley, a Republican, said.

Diane Peterson, an associate director at the Immunization Action Coalition, a group that works to increase immunization rates says "that's not a move in the right direction."

Bills that would allow philosophical exemptions have also been introduced in five other states, Peterson said. Lawmakers in an eighth state, [South Dakota](#), rejected two bills this month. Nineteen states already allow philosophical exemptions, according to the NCSL.

The move to expand that number is alarming many public health experts. Wendell Hoffman, an infectious disease doctor in [Sioux Falls](#), S.D., argues that vaccinations have rid the country of diseases that once ravaged the populace. Those diseases threaten a comeback if more children don't receive vaccinations, he says.

"Your eyes are not deceiving you if you notice that small pox, polio, measles and rubella have been eliminated from the United States in 1949, 1979, 2000 to 2004 respectively," he told South Dakota lawmakers.

The debate over vaccines is also causing more physicians to refuse to treat families that don't vaccinate, said Douglas Diekema, a professor of pediatrics at the [University of Washington](#) who has studied the issue for the [American Academy of Pediatrics](#).

"There have always been physicians who have felt this way, but I think they are becoming much more vocal about it, and they're being joined by others," Diekema said.

[Barbara Loe Fisher](#), president of the National Vaccine Information Center in Virginia, supports the exemptions. Until there's more science on vaccines' effects, she said, parents should have the option to exempt their children.

There are movements in some states to roll back existing exemptions, including in Vermont and Arizona ([USA Today, 2012](#)).